Waals radii for S and N atoms (3.35 Å) (Bondi, 1964). Probably due to this shorter contact the Ps|Ar| cation is well anchored in the crystal. Consequently, the accuracy of bond-length estimations for this fragment is on the same level as for TCNQ (Table 2).

174

Fig. 1 presents the mutual positions of A, B and B'TCNQ species in the stack. One TCNQ ring (A) lies on a centre of symmetry, whereas the other two (B and B') are related by it. The atom deviating most from the TCNQ plane is N(34) in the B species, 0.258 (3) Å. As mentioned above, this atom is closest to the S atom. It should be noted that TCNQ (B) deviates much more from planarity than TCNQ (A).

The Ps|Ar| cation belongs to the symmetrically p-disubstituted benzenes and may be analysed in terms of the Walsh rule (Walsh, 1948; Coulson, Domenicano & Vaciago, 1975; Krygowski, 1984). When the difference of the bonds C(45)-C(42)-C(41)-C(45) $= b - a = \Delta = 0.004$ Å is plotted against a which is the angle O(45)-C(41)-O(42) = 118.7 (3)° the point lies very well on the line $\Delta vs a$ proposed by Krygowski (1984), slightly pushed towards greater Δ and a values. This effect may be interpreted as a result of positive charge at the cation species.

Application of the HOSE model (Krygowski *et al.*, 1983) allows estimation of the percentage of quinoidform contribution, and in consequence the charge at the TCNQ species, with an accuracy of 0.18 e (Krygowski & Anulewicz, 1984). Thus in our study we have estimated q at A and B molecules of TCNQ by use of this model and that proposed by Flandrois & Chasseau (1977). The results are q(A) = -0.66 e and q(B) = -0.79 e in comparison with values -0.60 and -0.66 e respectively from the Flandrois & Chasseau (1977) model. The rather comparable charges at TCNQ A and B molecules in the Ps|Ar|.3TCNQ

complex explain its high electrical conductivity (Pecherz *et al.*, 1984).

This work was supported by the Polish Academy of Sciences, projects MR.I.9.3.1.9. and MR.I.9.2.4.8.

References

- BONDI, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- CIESIELSKI, W. & KRYSZEWSKI, M. (1976). Ann. Chim. Pol. 50, 947-960.
- COPPENS, P. & GURU ROW, T. N. (1978). Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 313, 244–255.
- Coulson, C. A., DOMENICANO, V. & VACIAGO, A. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 221-234.
- FLANDROIS, S. & CHASSEAU, D. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 2744–2750.
- HADEK, V., NOGUCHI, H. & REMBAUM, A. (1976). Polym. Prepr. Am. Chem. Soc. Div. Polym. Chem. 12, 494-498.
- KISTENMACHER, T. J., EMGE, T. J., BLOCH, A. N. & COWAN, D. O. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1193–1199.
- KRYGOWSKI, T. M. (1984). J. Chem. Res. (S), pp. 238-239.
- KRYGOWSKI, T. M. & ANULEWICZ, R. (1984). *Mater. Sci.* 10(1-2), 145-149.
- KRYGOWSKI, T. M., ANULEWICZ, R. & KRUSZEWSKI, J. (1983). Acta Cryst. B39, 732–739.
- MAIN, P., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1978). MULTAN78. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- PECHERZ, J., CIESIELSKI, W. & KRYSZEWSKI, M. (1981). Macromolecules, 14, 1139-1140.
- PECHERZ, J. & KRYSZEWSKI, M. (1982). Polym. Bull. 8, 87-93.
- PECHERZ, J. & KRYSZEWSKI, M. (1983a). Acta Polym. 27, 19-27.
- PECHERZ, J. & KRYSZEWSKI, M. (1983b). Polym. J. 15, 401-408.
- PĘCHERZ, J., KRYSZEWSKI, M., PRZYBYLSKI, M. & KAROLAK-
 - WOJCIECHOWSKA, J. (1984). J. Acta Polym. 35, 619-622.
- REMBAUM, A. (1969). J. Macromol. Sci. Chem. 3, 87-94.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. A program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- WALSH, A. D. (1948). Discuss. Faraday Soc. 2, 18-25.

Acta Cryst. (1986). C42, 174-177

Structure of Benoxaprofen, 2-[2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl]propionic Acid

BY MICHAEL G. B. DREW

Department of Chemistry, The University, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 2AD, England

AND PHILIP G. LESLIE

Eli Lilly and Co. Ltd, Kingsclere Road, Basingstoke, Hants RG21 2XA, England

(Received 2 August 1985; accepted 20 September 1985)

Abstract. $C_{16}H_{12}CINO_3$, $M_r = 301 \cdot 7$, monoclinic, A2/a, a = 12.557 (8), b = 4.941 (11), c = 48.478 (32) Å, $\beta = 101.5$ (1)°, U = 2947.4 Å³, Z = 8, $D_m =$

1.36, $D_x = 1.36 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$, $\mu = 2.72 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, F(000) = 1248, room temperature, final R = 0.072 for 1303 independent observed reflections.

0108-2701/86/020174-04\$01.50 @

50 © 1986 International Union of Crystallography

The bond lengths and angles are normal. The molecule is planar apart from the carboxylic group which is rotated by $75.8(1)^\circ$ out of the plane of the adjacent ring. A strong pair of intermolecular hydrogen bonds connects two -CO₂H groups across a centre of symmetry with $O \cdots O 2 \cdot 67$ (1) Å.

Introduction. The title compound, known as benoxaprofen, is one of a series of related compounds prepared by Dunwell, Evans, Hicks, Cashin & Kitchen (1975) that have some anti-inflammatory activity. Subsequent work by Cashin, Dawson & Kitchen (1977) and Chatfield, Cashin, Kitchen & Green (1977) and others established its use as a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent. Benoxaprofen was marketed as a drug but subsequently withdrawn in 1982.



The crystal structure of benoxaprofen has now been determined to assist in the evaluation of structureactivity relationships. Crystals were prepared following the method of Dunwell et al. (1975).

Experimental. Density measured by flotation in CCl₄/ ligroin. Precession photograph established preliminary cell constants. Crystal of approximate size $0.2 \times$ 0.3×0.3 mm obtained as described above mounted on Stoe STADI2 diffractometer to rotate about (110) planes. Cell dimensions by measurement of ca 20 high-angle axial reflections. Intensity data collected via variable-width ω scan, background counts 20 s, stepscan rate 0.033° s⁻¹, width $(1.5 + \sin\mu/\tan\theta)^{\circ}$. Absorption and extinction corrections not applied. Standard reflections hh2 measured every 20 measurements for each layer: no significant change in intensity. 3317 $(0 \le h \le 12, 0 \le k < 6, -56 < l < 54)$ data measured with $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ 50°. 1303 data with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ used in subsequent calculations. Structure solved by direct methods using SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Cl.O.N.C atoms refined anisotropically; H atoms bonded to C placed in tetrahedral or trigonal positions, thermal parameters allowed to refine but those on same atom constrained to be equivalent. H atoms on methyl group refined as rigid group with common thermal parameter. Hydrogen atom on O(19) located in difference Fourier map and refined with constrained O-H distance. Weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.003F^2], \sigma(F)$ taken from counting statistics. In final cycle of refinement (on F) no shift >0.1 σ . Final R 0.072 (wR 0.077, S 1.72). In final difference map, max. and min. peaks 0.33, -0.36 e Å⁻³. Scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Calculations

using SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) on the CDC7600 computer at the University of Manchester Regional Computer Centre. Atomic coordinates are given in Table 1. Details of interatomic distances and angles in Table 2.*

* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42529 (10 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table	1.	Atomic	coordinates	(×10 ⁴)	with	e.s.d.'s	in	
parentheses								

		-		
	x	у	Z	$\overline{U}(\dot{A}^2 \times 1000)^*$
Cl(1)	6680 (2)	-1816 (4)	2637.8 (3)	100 (3)
CÌÌ	6407 (5)	507 (12)	2886 (1)	75 (8)
C(2)	5368 (5)	1534 (14)	2883 (1)	76 (8)
C(3)	5186 (4)	3389 (13)	3082 (1)	75 (8)
C(4)	6024 (4)	4254 (11)	3297 (1)	59 (7)
C(5)	7088 (5)	3232 (11)	3297 (1)	70 (7)
C(6)	7263 (5)	1382 (14)	3094 (1)	91 (8)
C(7)	5880 (5)	6211 (12)	3511(1)	71 (7)
O(8)	4846 (3)	7407 (8)	3492 (1)	66 (5)
C(9)	4972 (4)	9082 (12)	3725 (1)	65 (7)
C(10)	6032 (4)	8868 (11)	3873 (1)	53 (7)
N(11)	6590 (3)	6955 (9)	3728 (1)	54 (6)
C(12)	4211 (5)	10857 (14)	3811 (1)	78 (8)
C(14)	5623 (5)	12055 (12)	4209 (1)	75 (8)
C(13)	4531 (5)	12290 (14)	4053 (1)	77 (8)
C(15)	6367 (4)	10327 (12)	4112 (1)	68 (7)
C(16)	5921 (5)	13668 (14)	4480 (1)	79 (8)
C(17)	5537 (5)	12003 (15)	4711 (1)	78 (9)
O(18)	6081 (4)	10313 (13)	4854 (1)	144 (7)
C(20)	7175 (5)	14336 (16)	4565 (1)	92 (10)
O(19)	4537 (4)	12581 (13)	4739 (1)	188 (9)

* $U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j} U_{ij}$

Table 2. Molecular dimensions (Å, °)

CI(1)-C(1)	1.745 (6)	C(9)-C(12)	1.418 (9)
C(1) - C(2)	1.397 (10)	C(10)-N(11)	1.442 (7)
C(1)C(6)	1.388 (8)	C(10)-C(15)	1.359 (7)
C(2) - C(3)	1.382 (9)	C(12)-C(13)	1.362 (8)
C(3) - C(4)	1.390 (7)	C(14)-C(13)	1.431 (8)
C(4) - C(5)	1.427 (8)	C(14)-C(15)	1.413 (9)
C(4)-C(7)	1.456 (8)	C(14)C(16)	1.520 (8)
C(5)-C(6)	1.393 (9)	C(16)-C(17)	1.541 (9)
C(7)-O(8)	1.414 (7)	C(16)-C(20)	1.581 (9)
C(7)-N(11)	1.289 (7)	C(17)-O(18)	1.206 (8)
O(8)-C(9)	1.385 (6)	C(17)–O(19)	1.322 (9)
C(9)-C(10)	1.383 (7)		
CI(1) - C(1) - C(2)	123.0 (5)	C(9)-C(10)-N(1) 108.0 (5)
CI(1) - C(1) - C(6)	118-4 (5)	C(9)-C(10)-C(1	5) 120-3 (5)
C(2) - C(1) - C(6)	118.6 (5)	N(11)C(10)-C	(15) 131-8 (5)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	121.2 (5)	C(7)-N(11)-C(10) 105-1 (4)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	121.3 (5)	C(9)-C(12)-C(1	3) 118-2 (5)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	117.5 (5)	C(13)C(14)-C	(15) 120-1 (5)
C(3) - C(4) - C(7)	123-6 (5)	C(13)-C(14)-C	(16) 117-3 (5)
C(5)-C(4)-C(7)	118-9 (5)	C(15)-C(14)-C	(16) 122.6 (5)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	120-7 (5)	C(12)-C(13)-C	(14) 120.0 (5)
C(1)-C(6)-C(5)	120.6 (5)	C(10)–C(15)–C	(14) 119-3 (5)
C(4)–C(7)–O(8)	118-4 (5)	C(14)–C(16)–C	(17) 106-8 (5)
C(4) - C(7) - N(11)	127-4 (5)	C(14)C(16)C	(20) 113-3 (5)
O(8) - C(7) - N(11)	114-2 (5)	C(17)-C(16)-C	(20) 111+2 (5)
C(7)O(8)C(9)	104-1 (4)	C(16)–C(17)–O	(18) 124.2 (6)
O(8) - C(9) - C(10)	108.6 (5)	C(16)–C(17)–O	(19) 113.7 (6)
O(8) - C(9) - C(12)	129-3 (5)	O(18)C(17)O	(19) 122+1 (6)
C(10) - C(9) - C(12)) 122.0(5)		



Fig. 1. The structure of benoxaprofen. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability.



Fig. 2. Two molecules of benoxaprofen, connected *via* intermolecular hydrogen bonds (shown as dotted lines) over a centre of symmetry.



Fig. 3. The unit cell of benoxaprofen in the **b** projection.

Discussion. The structure is shown in Fig. 1 together with the atomic numbering system. The bond lengths and angles in the molecule are unexceptional. The molecule is closely planar apart from the carboxylic acid group (least-squares planes in Table 3). Thus there is a small twist between planes 1 and 2 of 5.3 (1)° but planes 2 and 3 are coplanar. The carboxylic acid group (plane 4) is twisted well out of the plane of the other atoms in the molecule, making an angle of $75.8(1)^{\circ}$ with plane 3. This twist clearly maximizes intramolecular distances between the C(20) methyl group and the carboxylic acid group and plane 3 but it also facilitates the formation of a pair of intermolecular hydrogen bonds with another molecule (1 - x, 2 - y)1-z) across a centre of symmetry. Dimensions of these bonds are $O(18) \cdots O(19) 2.676$ (8) Å, $O(18) \cdots$ H(19)-O(19) 157 (9)°, $O(18)\cdots H(19)$ 1.83 (11) Å. This hydrogen-bonded dimer is shown in Fig. 2 and the overall packing is illustrated in Fig. 3.

Table 3. Least-squares planes for (1)

Distances of atoms from the planes are given in Å. Atoms not contributing to the plane are marked with an asterisk.

- Plane 1. C(1) 0.00(1), C(2) 0.00(1), C(3) 0.01(1), C(4) 0.01(1), C(5) 0.00(1), C(6) 0.00(1), $Cl(1)^* 0.01(1)$, $O(8)^* 0.12(1)$, $N(11)^* 0.11(1)$
- Plane 2. C(7) 0.01(1), O(8) -0.00(1), C(9) -0.00, C(10) -0.00, N(11) -0.01(1), $C(4)^* -0.02(1)$, $C(3)^* -0.12(1)$, $C(5)^* 0.11(1)$, $C(12)^* 0.03(1)$, $C(15)^* 0.01(1)$
- Plane 3. C(9) = -0.01 (1), C(10) 0.00 (1), C(12) 0.01 (1), C(14) = -0.01 (1), C(13) = -0.01 (1), C(15) 0.01 (1), $C(16)^* = -0.05$ (1), $C(17)^* = -1.48$ (1), C(20) 0.54 (1)
- Plane 4. C(16) 0.00(1), C(17) 0.00(1), O(18) 0.01(1), O(19) 0.00(1)

Angles between planes (°): 1/2 5·3 (1), 1/3 5·6 (1), 1/4 77·8 (1), 2/3 0·4, 2/4 75·8 (1), 3/4 75·8 (1)

	√_						
Dimensions*	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)†	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{C(13)-C(14)-C(16)-C(17)} \\ \text{C(13)-C(14)-C(16)-H(17)} \\ \text{C(13)-C(14)-C(16)-H(17)} \\ \text{C(13)-C(14)-C(16)-C(20)} \end{array}$	82·4 (1) -38·4 (1) -154·7 (1)	87.8 -30.5 -148.8	109·2 -6·3 -124·6	130-5 0-8 -107-7	140-9 16-6 -96-5	158·2 119·3 40·4 -1·1 -79·7 -116·4	
Angle between Ph ring and $-CO_2H$ (°)	75.8 (1)	79.2	82.9	71.7	75.2	70.2 59.1	
C(14)C(16)C(17)O (°)	88·9 (1) -90·7 (1)	67·3 -114·7	97·7 80·6	77·3 -102·0	89·3 	79·7 109·7 -102·2 -69·9	
Type of intermolecular hydrogen bonding	Dimer	‡	Dimer	Dimer	Dimer	ş	
O…O distance (Å)	2.68(1)	2.68	2.66	2.65	2.66	2.68 2.65	

Table 4. Selected dimensions for molecules containing the 2-phenylpropionic acid fragment

References: (1) this molecule; (2) 2-(4-cyclohexyl-1-naphthyl)propionic acid (Dupont, Dideberg, Dive, Godfroid & Steiner, 1982); (3) 2-methyl-4-(2-thenoyl)benzeneacetic acid (Peeters Blaton & de Ranter, 1983); (4) (\pm) -2-(2-fluoro-4-biphenylyl)propionic acid (Flippen & Gilardi, 1975); (5) 2-(4-isobutylphenyl)propionic acid (McConnell, 1974); (6) (+)-(2R, α S)-2-isopropyl- α -methyl-5-indanacetic acid (Foulon, Baert, Fouret, Brienne & Jacques, 1979).

* The numbering scheme is given for (1). The equivalent dimensions are given for molecules (2)-(6).

⁺ Two molecules in the asymmetric unit.

Intermolecular hydrogen bond.

Intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the -CO₃H groups of the two molecules in the asymmetric unit.

Search of the Cambridge Data Centre files shows only five other molecules that contain a C(Me)COOH group attached to a benzene ring. These compounds are listed in Table 4 together with selected dimensions. Three of these five structures contain intramolecular hydrogen bonds formed between two molecules over a centre of symmetry in an equivalent manner to (1). The conformation of the propionic acid moiety relative to the benzene ring can be described by a number of torsion angles. These are listed for all these molecules in Table 4. The C(13)-C(14)-C(16)-C(17) torsion angle varies considerably in the molecules, ranging from 82.4 (1)° in (1) to 158.2° in (6). However, there is more agreement in the position of the -CO₂H group relative to the phenyl ring measured either by the angle of intersection or by the C-C-C-O torsion angles, which fall within a 30° spread if sign variations are ignored. The considerable range of values for both these dimensions indicates that no particular conformation is favoured and that overall packing effects may well decide the exact molecular conformation.

We thank Mr A. W. Johans for his assistance with the crystallographic investigations.

References

- CASHIN, C. H., DAWSON, W. & KITCHEN, E. A. (1977). J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 29, 330-336.
- CHATFIELD, D. H., CASHIN, C. H., KITCHEN, E. A. & GREEN, J. N. (1977). J. Pharm. Pharmacol. (1977). 29, 371-377.
- DUNWELL, D. W., EVANS, D., HICKS, T. A., CASHIN, C. H. & KITCHEN, A. (1975). J. Med. Chem. 18, 53–58.
- DUPONT, L., DIDEBERG, O., DIVE, G., GODFROID, J. J. & STEINER, E. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2409–2415.
- FLIPPEN, J. L. & GILARDI, R. D. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 926-928.
- FOULON, M., BAERT, F., FOURET, R., BRIENNE, M. J. & JACQUES, J. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 2058-2062.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- McConnell, J. F. (1974). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 3, 73-75.
- PEETERS, O. M., BLATON, N. M. & DE RANTER, C. J. (1983). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 92, 191–199.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Acta Cryst. (1986). C42, 177-179

4- $O-\beta$ -D-Galactopyranosyl- α -D-mannopyranose Hemiethanol Dihydrate

BY C. BURDEN, W. MACKIE AND B. SHELDRICK

Astbury Department of Biophysics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, England

(Received 20 June 1985; accepted 28 October 1985)

Abstract. $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}\frac{1}{2}C_{2}H_{6}O.2H_{2}O$, $M_r = 401.4$, tetragonal, $P4_{1}2_{1}2_{2}$, a = 9.2351 (13), c = 42.2158 (30) Å, V = 3600.46 Å³, Z = 8, $D_x = 1.48$ g cm⁻³, λ (Cu Ka) = 1.5418 Å, $\mu = 11.84$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1720, T = 293 K, R = 0.0636 for 1999 observed reflections. The material was prepared by recrystallization from an ethanol/water mixture of a commercially prepared sample. The results have confirmed the disaccharide structure, showing two molecules of water per oligomer plus ethanol of crystallization.

Introduction. Great importance has been placed on carbohydrates which exhibit the properties of gellation because of their commercial applications. In this context, work on the structure of galactomannans, which form synergistic gels with other polysaccharides such as carrageenan (Dea & Morrison, 1975), is of interest. Thus, the crystal structure of any galactomannan oligomer is useful as a model for conformational analysis of the polymer. The present study has shown that the recrystallized compound is the free reducing disaccharide which contains ethanol of crystallization.

Experimental. Crystals prepared by slow evaporation from an ethanol/water mixture; crystal $0.2 \times 0.2 \times$ 0.25 mm, Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F diffractometer, Cu Ka radiation, cell dimensions calculated from θ measurements of 32 reflections. 6476 reflections measured, $2\theta_{max} = 70^{\circ}$, h 0 to 8, k 0 to 11, l 0 to 52, data merged using SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) giving 2060 unique reflections, $R_{int} = 0.0532$, 1999 [F > $3\sigma(F)$] used in analysis. As an intensity check the 5,5,18 reflection was used: average count 455.1 and σ (calculated from the distribution) = 63.0 (13.8%). No absorption correction applied. MULTAN80 (Main et al., 1980) used to solve structure and least-squares refinement carried out, with SHELX, for positional parameters and anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-H atoms; $\sum w(\Delta F)^2$ minimized, $w = 1/(\sigma^2 F + 1)^2$ 0.001159F²); H atoms located from difference Fourier synthesis except for ethanolic H atoms but not refined; R = 0.0636, wR = 0.0940. In final cycle max. Δ/σ 0.084, av. 0.006; on final difference Fourier synthesis max. and min. electron densities 0.37 and -0.35 e Å⁻³; scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray

0108-2701/86/020177-03\$01.50

© 1986 International Union of Crystallography